Revenue

App F IX-4

1934 ( ME )

File No 12-34

*Previous References*
ME 2-29 (23 & 35)
Rev 11-32 (128, 139)

Serial Nos 106, 115, 118, 124, 125, 132, 134

*Later References*
Rev 228-34-32
" 12-35
" 12-35, 46, 52
" 12-35 (38 44)
Rev 30-39 (15-131)

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

*Serial Nos* Seven

**Part B for** March 1935

**SUBJECT.**

Revision of the Market Establishment of the Bangalore city Municipality

(Rev 134) The Municipal Commissioner Bangalore city
" Comptroller to Govt
WD 01965—GPB—15 000—7-7-34

G.O No L 6211-12 dated 8-3-35

Sanctions the Revision

Form

Mk 12-34-10b 2993
2

**City Municipal Office,**

No A6-420/34-35 *Bangalore, Dated* 20th Decr 1934
Encl. 8. 3-1-1935

From

M. Seshadri, Esq., M.A.,
Municipal Commissioner,
Bangalore City

To

Rajasevasaktha
R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of the resolution of the Municipal Council dated 15th December 1934 approving the revision of the existing market establishment together with a proposition statement for favour of sanction.

A copy of memo dated 12th November 1934 explaining in detail the necessity for the revision together with copies of the resolutions of Market Committee and Managing Committee are enclosed for favour of perusal.

This proposal involves an annual extra cost of Rs 1,104 which is proposed to be met during the current year out of the closing balance for the year 1934-35.

I request that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained for the revision with effect from 1st January 1935.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M Seshadri
Municipal Commissioner.

ss

Forwarded with compliments.

Hon. President.

The total number of hands is proposed to be increased from 18 to 32 whether such a large

Revision of the Market Establishment, Bangalore city

2993

General and Revenue Secretariat | ML File No 12 of 1934 | [App F III—2

Serial No

of the establishment
enhancement ~~is necessary~~ resulting in an extra cost of Rs 9 per mensem is necessary in the present financial condition is for orders

2. Draft G.O. sanctioning the proposal is however submitted

Rmr 10/1/35 A | 3. Please put up the G.O relating to the existing scale of the Market Cobbs Assistant may also be put up showing (1) the existing scale of the ~~Assistant~~ (2) Proposals of the Market Centre (3) Proposals of the MLCan (2) Proposals of the Market Centre (4) Proposals of the Managing Centre approved by the MLCC

Rmr 15/1

4. Draft to the Municipal Commissioner asking for information as at A is submitted for approval

Rmr 22/1 MV 22/1 — 5 Issue MV 22/1.

115 — The Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore city
No. L 4985 dated 25-1-35
Requests him to quote the no. and date of the G.O. sanctioning the present establishment

119 Letter No A6-420/34-35 dated 28-1-35 from the Municipal commissioner, Bangalore city furnishing the information.

W 6. Resubmitted with a statement as directed It will be seen therefrom that the proposals contained in the proportion statement are not the same as those approved by the Municipal Council.

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 01955—GPB—22 500—12 6-34

Revision of the Market establishment, Bangalore City Municipality

2993/3375

ME File No 12 of 1934 — General and Revenue Secretariat

It is not clear whether the 3 peons for the bicycle stands are included in the total number of peons viz 16. The number of sweepers noted in the proportion statement is only 8 as against 16 proposed by the Market Committee and approved by the Managing Committee and the Municipal Council. These points will have to be made clear before the proposals are sanctioned.

RMM 5/2

7 There are also some more points of difference between the proposals of the ME Cl & the Mun' Com' as embodied in the Prop. Statt, please see Statt put up below showing the several proposals

8 Please put up a Draft to the ME Cl forwarding a copy of the said statt & requesting them to explain the Discrepancies noticed.

JM 5/2

9 It is submitted that the ~~proposals~~ proposals of the Municipal Commissioners have not been embodied in the proportion statement are differences between the resolution of the Municipal council and the proportion statement is only in respect of the items pointed out in para 6 above

10. Draft to the Municipal Commissioner Submitted

RMM 7/2

11 For perusal before issue

Chief Secy)

MM 9/2

12. [illegible] 8/2-35

13) Issue MM 9/2

9 FEB 1935

Revision of Market Establishment —
3375 1-12-35 Bangalore city mlf

General and Revenue Secretariat — Ml File No 12 of 1934 — [App F III—2

| Serial No | |
|---|---|
| 124 | The Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore city |
| | No. L. 5565 dated 11-2-35 — |
| | Requests him to explain certain discrepancies |
| 125 | Letter No. A6-420/34-35 dated 13-2-35 from the Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore city explaining the discrepancies pointed out. |
| | 14 The explanation furnished by the Municipal commissioner does not seem to be convincing. The Market committee resolved to have 18 peons inclusive of 4 peons for the cycle stands. But the Managing Committee resolved that the total number of peons be reduced to 16 and the number of peons for the cycle stands be fixed at 3 instead of 4 proposed by the Market committee. As per Municipal commissioner's statement, the total no. of peons will be 16+3=19 as against 18 proposed by the Market Committee. |
| Yes. This seems as above the intention of the Managing Committee & the Municipal Council 15/2 | |
| | 15 If, as stated by the Municipal Commissioner, there are already 8 Sweepers of the conservancy department told off to work at the Market premises, it is perhaps necessary to include them in the present establishment and the number of Sweepers in the proposed scale increased to 16 It is also not clear whether the sweepers are at present doing ~~for~~ or part time or full time work. |
| Yes 18/2 | |
| | 16 Submitted for orders. |
| Rom 15/2 | 17 Vide Finance Annual Paper Meeting called for with the proceedings to sanction the existing establishment in Cls 1 & 2 |

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 01955—GPB—22 500—12 6-34

Revision of Market Establishmen
3635
Bangalore city
3781

Mr File No 12 of 1934

General and Revenue Secretariat

5 MAR 1935 No 5825

TYPI[illegible] GENL & REV SECTT 18 FEB 1935

8
Draft submitted

Rmr 18/2 19 Issue Mm 18/

To the Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore city

No L 5705 dated 19-2-35

Calls for revised proportion statements.

Letter No A6-420/34-35 dated 22-2-1935 from the Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore city forwarding revised proportion statements.

20 Draft G.O. put up is for orders

Rmr 25/2 21 The reason for revising & increasing the market establishment are explained in the Muni Com's note flagged G

22 The draft sanctioning is for orders

Mm 26/2

(Chief Secy)

CHIEF SECRETARY 26 FEB 1935

23 Please put up a St showing the existing staff, that proposed by the Com, that sanctd by the municipality, the total cost being indicated in each case.

R2 26/2 (43)

Mm 26/2

7 MAR 1935 GENL & REV SECTT

24 The statement is submitted (flag R)

Rmr 27/2

25 (Chief Secy) Mm 2/3

26 The draft might appd

RG 4/3 DJ Mm 5/3

ID Member

Dewan

Jpr 5/3

SECOND MEETING 5 MAR 1935 Member's Secretary

Jm 5-3-35 RG 6/3 Jpr Mm 6/3

Revision of the Market Establishment of Bangalore city Municipality

3781/L

General and Revenue Secretariat | Ml File No 12 of 1934 | [App F III—2

| Serial No | |
|---|---|
| 134 | One Ml Commr - Bangalore city<br>" Comptroller to Govt<br>No L 6211-12 dated 8-3-35<br>Sanctions the revision of the Market-Estt. |

B/aB

D—Confn. Sheet

WD 01955—GPB—22 500—12 6-34

File No of 198

| Existing Establishment | Pay | | | Cost | Proposals of the Municipal Commissioner | Pay | | | Cost | Proposals sanctioned by the Municipal Council | Pay | | | Cost |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 Market Inspector | 60+5 | 4 | 80+5 | 78 1/3 | 1 Market Inspector | 60+5 | 4 | 80+5 | 78 1/3 | 1 Market Inspector | 60+5 | 4 | 80+5 | 78 1/3 |
| 2 Asst Inspectors | 30 | 2 | 50 | 84 4/9 | 2 Asst Inspectors | 30 | 2 | 50 | 84 4/9 | 1 Asst Inspector | 30 | 2 | 50 | 42 2/9 |
| 2 Sirgeants | 25 | 1 | 30 | 56 2/3 | 4 Bill collectors | 25 | 1 | 30 | 113 1/3 | 3 Bill Collectors | 25 | 1 | 30 | 85 |
| 2 Duffedars | 16 | - | 16 | 32 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 peons | 15 | - | 15 | 75 | 4 peons | 10 | 1 | 12 | ~~46 2/5~~ 46 14/15 | 16 peons | 10 | 1 | 12 | ~~185 3/5~~ 194 2/3 |
| 4 peons | 14 | " | 14 | 56 | 20 Watchman | 10 | 1 | 12 | ~~234 2/3~~ 234 2/3 | 3 peons for cycle stands | 10 | 1 | 12 | ~~34 4/5~~ 35 1/5 |
| 2 peons for cycle stands | 10 | " | 10 | 20 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 Sweepers | 10 | . | 10 | 80 | 22 Sweepers (5 gates) | 10 | . | 10 | 220 | 16 Sweepers (4 gates) | 10 | | 10 | 160 |
| | | | | 482 4/9 or Rs 482 p.m. (Rs 5,784 p.a.) | | | | | 777 32/45 or Rs 778 p.m. (Rs 9336 p.a.) | | | | | 595 19/45 or Rs 595 p.m. (7,140 p.a.) |

Proceedings of an adjourned general meeting of the City Municipal Council, Bangalore held on Saturday the 15th December 1934 at 12 Noon in the Council Chamber of the City Municipal office, Bangalore.

---

Present:-B. K. GARUDACHAR, Esq.,
Hon. President.

Councillors:-

Messrs.
1. R. Venkataswami
2. W. H. Hanumanthappa
3. B. Kempanna
4. K. T. Bhasyamiengar
5. Ramalalthivari
6. B. S. Sankarappa Setty
7. V. B. L. Narayan
8. Y. H. Venkataramanappa
9. D. Venkataramanappa
10. M. Muni swamappa
11. H. Srinivasamurthy
12. C. N. Narasinga Rao
13. D. Gopalakrishna Rao
14. C. Hayavadana Rao
15. C. M. Gaurdachar

Messrs.
16. M. P. Somasekhara Rao
17. S. Sitharamaiya
18. S. K. Venkatarangiengar
19. T. S. Raghavachar
20. M. Chinsvami
21. P. Subbarama Chetty
22. O. S. Nazarullasheriff
23. S. N. M. Razvi
24. B. Abdur Rahmon
25. Azizuddin Mehkri
26. J. R. Issac
27. S. Yellappa

Absent.

1. Mr. S. V. Srinivasasetty
2. " K. S. Kamakshiya Setty

Ordinary subject No. 22

Opinion of the Managing Committee meeting dated 30th October 1934 approving the report of the Market Committee for revising the Market establishment, with the modification that (1) 4 gates be opened for the public, (2) the number of peons be reduced by two, (3) the pay of the peons be fixed from Rs 10 to Rs 12 and (4) three peons for the Bicycle stand be maintained.

Resolution -Sanctioned.

Sd/ B. K. Garudachar,
Hon. President.

Copy

M Seshadri
Municipal Commissioner.

ss

Proceedings of the Managing Committee meeting held on 30th October 1934.

No. 8

Office note regarding the revising of the Market establishment of the Bangalore City Municipality as noted in the proposition statement and also the opinion of the Market Committee thereon.

Resolution -Market Committee's report is approved with the following modifications -

1 4 Gates be opened for public.
2 2 Peons be reduced
3. Pay of the peons to be fixed to Rs 10-12 a month.
4. 3 Bicyclestand peons to be maintained.

Sd/ B. K Garudachar,
Hon. President.

Copy

ss

Municipal Commissioner.

City Municipal office,

Bangalore, dated

Note.

Re Organisation of the Market Staff.

The Central Market is an important source of revenue to the Municipality. The revenue realised from all rents and gate fees is in the neighbourhood of Rs 90,000 and I believe that with better scrutiny, the revenue would appreciably be improved. It is admitted on all hands that the present arrangements for the management of the market leaves much to be desired.

2. The present market staff consists of:-

1) One Inspector on Rs 60-4-80 plus Rs 5 conveyance allowance.
2) Two Assistant Inspectors on Rs 30-2-50 each.
3) Two Sergeants on Rs 25-1-30 each.
4) Tow duffedars on Rs 16 each.
5) Five peons on Rs 15 each.
6) Four peons on Rs 14 each

The staff is expected to collect the rents of shops and fees of permanent and temporary stalls as well as the gate fees and to maintain discipline inside the market and to watch the several gates leading into the market; with the result that none of these duties are satisfactorily discharged, as the number of men is totally inadequate to do justice to the duties expected of them.

The market opens for business at 5-30 A M and closes at 9-30 P.M. The staff will have to be on duty all this time It is impossible in the very nature of things to expect that the officials should work for such long hours satisfactorily. It is absolutely necessary that the officials should work by shifts of 8 or 9 hours as the case may be.

Another change that appears to be equally necessary is that the agency for collection should be separated from the agency for maintaining discipline and sanitation inside the market. It often happens now that when the Assistant Inspector or sergeant goes on rounds inside the market, the peon is entrusted with the collection of gate fees. This arrangement ought to be discontinued.

The two essentials that should be kept in view in any attempt to improve the administration of the market are:-

1. Seaparation of collection work from maintaining di
and sanitation.

2. Working the men by shifts.

In accordance with these principles, I propose that for collection work four collectors on Rs 25-1-30 be employed. They will be held personally responsible for the collection work of shops and gate fees.

To each of these men will be attached one peon to assist them in their work. These collectors will work by shifts of 8 hours or more as may be found necessary They will be under the direct control of the Revenue officer who will be held responsible for the collection of revenue in the Market.

The executive staff will, of course, be required to render assistance to the collection staff in cases of difficulty.

It is possible to absorb the two sergeants working at present in these arrangements by making suitable adjustments

As regards the executive staff, I suggest that it may consist of:-

1. One Inspector on the present pay and allowance.

2. Two Assistant Inspectors on Rs 30-2-50 each

3. 20 Watchmen

There are at present 6 gates to the market. one of the gates must be closed Each of the remaining 5 gates will have to be watched throughout the day from 5-30 A.M. to 9-30 P.M.

Two watchmen will be told off for each gate to work by shifts of 8 hours. This work will absorb 10 hands. Similarly, for the cycle stand at the main gate, it will be necessary to have two watchmen to work by shifts.

Anotner 8 men will be required for petrolling inside the market. There is at present no satisfactory arrangement for watching the market during nights Some of these watchmen will have to be told off for night watch by turns. The Market Committee has proposed that the number of men ought to be raised to 18. This number appears to me quite inadequate.

As regards conservancy and sanitation, the market has only seven sweepers attached to it. but if the market is to be kept tidy always, a number of sweepers must be at work throughout the day by shifts The whole market is swept early in the monring and by about 9 O'clock, the place becomes dirty. We must make arrangements to

have the premises swept every 15 or 20 minutes particularly in the morning and evenings. It appears to me absolutely necessary that the numberof sweepers should be raised by 15, The existing establishment and the proposals now made are exhibited in the accompanying proposition statement The net increase involved in these proposals will be Rs 2,284 per annum. The extra cost involved for the rest of the year viz Rs 1,142 will be met out of the closing balance.

I need hardly say that this extra expenditure will be more than justified by the anticipated increase in earnings, and improvement in sanitation and orderliness.

When these proposals are sanctioned action will be taken to overhaul the personnel of the establishment and to secure suitable men for these posts.

Sd/ M. Seshadri,
Municipal Commissioner.

ss

Copy

M. Seshadri
Municipal Commissioner.

Proceedings of the Market Committee dated 16th October 1934.

---

Subject No. 6

To consider Municipal Commissioner's proposals for revising the market establishment.

---

Resolution:-

Out of 6 gates only four gates be kept open and the remaining two closed.

That 2 bill collectors for collection of gate fees and one for monthly rent collections of shops and stalls be entertained

These are to work under the direct supervision and control of the Revenue officer. Each bill collector should be given a peon to help him.

Discipline and sanitation.

The following staff are proposed for this work -

One Market Inspector
One Assistant Inspector

The number of peons be reduced to 18, 8 for 4 gates, 4 for cycle stands and 6 for petrol in the interior of the market.

The pay of the additional peons be fixed at Rs 13 and 12

The number of sweepers and scvangers be fixed at 16 and their pay at Rs 10.

The Market Inspector should be provided with quarters in the market and he must live there.

Other subjects be deferred to the next meeting for want of time.

16-10-34.

Sd/ P. Subbarama Chetty,
Chairman, Market Committee.

Copy

Municipal Commissioner.

ss

**GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE**

**General and Revenue Secretariat**

**Bangalore, Dated,** 23rd January **1935.**
25th

*No* L4985
MC12-34-163

*From*

The *Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore*

*To*

The Municipal Commissioner,
Bangalore City. (through the President).

*Sir,*

With reference to your letter No.$A_6$-420/34-35 dated 3rd January 1935 forwarding proposals for the revision of the Market establishment, I am directed to request you to be so good as to state the number and date of the Government Order sanctioning the present Market establishment This information is not given in Columns 1 & 2 of the Proposition statement received with your letter.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Chief Secretary to Govt.

c n.--2

Appendix F G –1]

SUBJECT. _____

_____

_____

Section [illegible]

File No 12–34

Serial No 115

No L 4985

*Diary No of letter replied to*...

To

The Municipal Commissioner,
Bangalore city (through the President

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

*the* *193*

Sir,

With reference to your letter No A6-420/34-35 dated 3-1-35 forwarding proposals for the revision of the Market establishment, I am directed to request you to be so good as to state the no and date of the G.O. sanctioning the present Market establishment. This information is not given in Cols 1&2 of the Proposal and may kindly be furnished. Your letter where sending up proposals for the revision of establishments

Date of issue 25-1-35

Signed by Mr. A S Rao

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

I have etc

for Chief Secy

Rao M

3375

**City Municipal Office,**

No A6-420/34 35 — *Bangalore, dated* 28th Jan 1935

Mc 12-34-118

From

M SESHADRI, ESQ, M A,
Municipal Commissioner,
Bangalore City

GEN. & RL. 2 FEB 1935 BRANCH.

To

Rajasevasaktha
R Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A, B.L.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore

CHIEF SECRETARY'S 31 JAN 1935

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. L 4985 M1 12-34-115 dated 25th January 1935, I have the honor to note below the number and date of the Government order sanctioning the present Market Establishment.

Government order No L. 3679-8 M1 2-29-30
dated 22nd November 1929.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M Seshadri
Municipal Commissioner.

ss

Forwarded with compliments.

Hon. President.

Appendix F G–1]

SUBJECT _____

_____

_____

Diary No of letter replied to _____

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 11-2-35

Signed by _____

List of enclosures _____

Copied by _____

Examined by _____

Section Me

File No 12–34

Serial No 124

No L 5565

To

The Municipal Commissioner,
Bangalore city
(through the President)

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No A6-420/34-35 dated 28-1-35 regarding the revision of the Market establishment of the Municipality, I am directed to state the proposals ~~contained~~ as embodied in the proposition statement are not the same as those approved by the Municipal Council and to request that the following discrepancies may be explained.

1) One total number of posts proposed by the

Municipal council as ~~by-law~~ for

of 3 peons for cycle stands, but in the

proportion statement the latter as proposed to

be appointed in addition to 16 peons

2) The number of sweepers noted in

the proportion statement is only ~~4~~ eight as against

is sanctioned by the Municipal Council.

A very early reply is requested

I have etc.

W/JJ Chief Secy to Govt

RMM

**GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE**

**General and Revenue Secretariat**

No L 5565 / Rev 12-34

*Dated, Bangalore,* 9th / 11 Feb. 193

*From*

The *Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore*

*To*

The Municipal Commissioner,
BANGALORE CITY
(through the President)

*Sir,*

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No.A.6.420/34-35 dated 28th January 1935 regarding the revision of the Market establishment of the Municipality, I am directed to state that the proposals as embodied in the proposition statement are not the same as those approved by the Municipal Council and to request that the following discrepancies may be explained.

(1) The total number of peons proposed by the Municipal Council is only 16 inclusive of 3 peons for cycle stands, but in the proposition statement the latter is proposed to be appointed in addition to 16 peons.

(2) the number of sweepers noted in the proposition statement is only eight as against 16 sanctioned by the Municipal Council.

A very early reply is requested.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Aswathanarayana Rao
for Chief Secretary to Government.

V.L.

Ml 12-34-125

City Municipal Office,

No A6-420/34-35 *Bangalore, dated* 13th Feb 1935

3638

From

M SESHADRI, ESQ, M A,
Municipal Commissioner,
Bangalore City

To

Rajasevasaktha
R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. L. 5565 Ml 12-34 dated 11th February, 1935 regarding the proposals embodied in the proposition statement for the revision of the Market Establishment, I have the honor to state as follows,-

1. The Market Committee at their meeting held on 16th October 1934 recommended the entertainment of 18 peons for the Market. The Managing Committee to whom the subject was referred recommended in the first instance the entertainment of 16 peons but on further representation they further resolved (vide clause 4 of the resolution dated 30th November 1934) that 3 bicycle peons be maintained.

2. Similarly, the Market Committee recommended that the number of scvangers be fixed at 16 This was approved both in the Managing Committee and the Council There are at present 8 sweepers of the conservancy department told off to work at the Market premises and the Committee wanted this number to be raised to 16. Therefore provision has been made for only 8 additional sweepers in the proposition statement sent up. I request the favour of your obtaining early sanction of Government for the revision of the establishment early.

Forwarded with compliments.

Hon. President.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
Municipal Commissioner.

ss

Ex Cir

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No L 5705
Mr 12-34

*Dated, Bangalore,* 18th February 1935
19th

*From*

The *Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore*

*To*

The Municipal Commissioner,
Bangalore City.
( Through the President, Bangalore City Municipal Council)

*Sir,*

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No.A 6-420/34-35 dated 13th February 1935 regarding the revision of the Market Establishment, I am directed to state that it appears necessary to treat the 8 sweepers working in the market premises as part of the existing market establishment and show them under present scale' in the Proposition statement and to increase their number to 16 in the proposed scale. I am accordingly to request that a revised proposition statement in ~~duplicate~~ triplicate may be sent early, noting therein the number and date of the Government order sanctioning the existing establishment in Columns 1 and 2 and showing the financial effect of the proposals.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Chief Secretary to Government.

Rmr

mk.2

Appendix F G–1]

SUBJECT

Section Ml

File No 12–34

Serial No 132

*Diary No of letter replied to*

No L S 705

To

The Municipal Commissioner,
Bangalore city
(through the President).

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

*the* *193*

Sir,

Date of issue 19-2-35

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No A6-420/34-35 dated 13-2-35 regarding the revision of the Market Establishment, I am directed to state that ~~it is reported that~~ there are at present 8 sweepers working in the ~~for~~ market premises, ~~to be included~~ as part of the existing ~~establishment~~ market establishment and to show them under "present scale" in the proposed establishment and to show the increase in number ~~as~~ to 16 under proposed scale. I am accordingly to request that

State ~~whether it appears~~ that it appears ~~necessary~~ whether the necessary ~~to include the~~ that to include the

Signed by Mr A Subba Rao

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

~~revised proposition~~ ~~[redacted]~~

may be sent early, noting therein

~~this modification~~ ~~[redacted]~~ the amount and

date of the £10 ~~[illegible]~~ increase in the existing

establishment ~~[redacted]~~ in Cols 1 + 2 --

~~may be sent early~~, and showing the financial effect the proposals

~~The proforma statements accompany~~

~~[redacted]~~ I have etc

~~[redacted]~~

~~[redacted]~~ 18/2 for Chief Secy

~~[redacted]~~ RMM

MC 12-34-133 3781

City Municipal Office,

No A 6-420/34-35. *Bangalore, dated* 22nd Feb. 1935

From

M SESHADRI, ESQ, M A,
Municipal Commissioner,
Bangalore City



Rajasevasaktha
R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. L.5705/M1.12-34 dated 19th February 1935 requesting to send a revised Proposition statement showing the 8 Sweepers under the present scale, etc, in connection with revision of the Market Establishment, I have the honour to send herewith a revised Proposition statement in triplicate as desired.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

M. Seshadri
Municipal Commissioner.

Forwarded with compliments.

Honorary President.

K.S.Rao.

G. R S App F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT

*Diary No of letter replied to*

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

*the* *193*

Date of issue 8—3— 1935

Signed by

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette Kannada Part ?

Section Mc

File No. 12—34

Serial No 134

*Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore*

Read (Correspondence ending with letter No A6-420/34-35 dated 22-2-35 ~~3-1-35~~ from the Municipal Commr, Bangalore city, soliciting sanction to the resolution of the Municipal council approving of the revision of the existing market establishment as per proposition statement enclosed, Order thereon—No resulting in an extra cost of Rs ~~2,104~~ 1356 per annum, and stating that the extra cost during the current year will be met out of the closing balance of the Municipal

Order No F 6211-12 Excl/each

Sanctioned

RL

Chief Secy to Govt

To the Mcl Commr Bangalore city (through the Resident)

" Comptroller to Govt

with a copy of the proposition Slip

*List of Enclosure*

*Copied by*

*Examined by*

WD 01.8—GPB—15 0.0—18-8-34

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

---

Read –

Correspondence ending with letter No. A.6-420/34-35, dated 22nd February 1935 from the Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City, soliciting sanction to the resolution of the Municipal Council - - approving of the revision of the existing Market Establishment as per proposition statement enclosed resulting in an extra cost of Rs. 1,356/- per annum, and stating that the extra cost during the current year will be met out of the closing balance of the Municipality.

---

Order No. L 6211-12 Rev I each
Rev 12-34-134

Bangalore, dated the 7th/8 March 1935.

S A N C T I O N E D.

Asubba Rao
For Chief Secretary to Government.

Rpwr

To

The Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City through the President, City Municipal Council, Bangalore.
Comptroller to Government.

with a copy of the proposition statement.

TRM.3

Fin[redacted]

Acc[redacted] rm 11

Final 200]

*Vide* Art 57 C. A C, Vol[illegible].

STATEMENT Of

Name of Off[redacted] which the Pro[illegible]

| Government orders sanctioning present Establishment | Nature of Charge | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Present Scale | | | | | | Proposed Scale | | | | |
| | | | Pay | | | Average Cost | | | Pay | | |
| Date | No | Designation | Minimum | Rate of Increase | Maximum | | No | Designation | Minimum | Rate of Increase | |
| Govt. Order No. L-3679-8-[illegible] dated 22 11 29 | 1 | Market Inspector | 60+5 | 4 | 80+5 | 78 1/3 | 1 | Market Inspector | 60+5 | 4 | |
| | 2 | Asst Inspectors | 2×30 | 2. | 2×50 | 86 2/3 | 1 | Asst Inspector | 30 | 2. | |
| | 2 | Sergeants | 2×25 | 1. | 2×30 | 56 2/3 | 3 | Bill collectors | 3×25 | 1 | |
| | 2 | Daffedars | 2×16 | | 2×16 | 32. | 16 | Peons | 16×10 | | |
| | 5 | Peons | 5×15 | | 5×15 | 75 | 3 | Peons for cycle stands | 3×10 | 1 | |
| | 4 | do | 4×14 | | 4×14 | 56 | 16 | Sweepers | 16×10 | | |
| | 8 | Sweepers | 8×10 | | 8×10 | 80 | | | | | |
| | 2 | Peons (For cycle stand) | 2×10 | | 2×10 | 20 | | | | | |
| | 26 | | | | | 484 2/3 or 485 | 40 | | | | |

[illegible]

Dear [redacted]

Note — [illegible] be prepared in duplicate and forwarded through the C[redacted] r in Myso[illegible]

be Financial Rules circulated with G O No Fl 1150—1192, dated 7 2 1903)

OR REVISION OF ESTABLISHMENT

tes Market Establishment, Bangalore City Municipality

WD 2927—GPB—5000—3-5-29

| Average Cost | Proposition: Permanent: Increase per month: Amount | Proposition: Permanent: Decrease per month: Amount | Proposition: Temporary: Increase per month: Period | Proposition: Temporary: Increase per month: Amount | Proposition: Temporary: Decrease per month: Period | Proposition: Temporary: Decrease per month: Amount | Grounds of Proposition | Comptroller's Report | Orders of Government: Department concerned: Remarks | Orders of Government: Financial Department: Remarks | Orders of Government: Financial Department: Orders |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 78 1/3 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ~~43 1/3~~ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ~~42 1/2~~ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 85 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 176 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ~~124 2/3~~ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ~~33~~ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ~~15~~ 17 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 160 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 575 2/3 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ~~576~~ | ~~191~~ | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 113 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 595 / 7,140 | | | |
| | 22/2/35 | | | | | | | | | | |

should be followed by a reappropriation statement if the proposal involves additional expenditure